

REMARKS

This is meant to be a complete response to the Final Office Action dated June 15, 2005. In the Office Action, Examiner rejected claims 1-40 for

- Applicant's failure to submit the replacement reference during the pendency of
- the application.

Applicants respectfully submit the reference herein and apologizes for any inadvertent delay in the examination of this application.

In the Final Office Action dated June 15, 2005, Examiner invited Applicant to explain why the current priority claim to the abandoned applications 10/038,925, 09/459,962 and 09/103,050 is absent the newly submitted priority claim.

Applicant has amended the specification to include abandoned applications 10/038,925, 09/459,962 and 09/103,050. The omission of these prior applications was made in error.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that this application, as now amended, is in condition for allowance for the reasons stated above. Therefore, it is requested

- that the Examiner reconsider each and every rejection as applicable to the
- claims now pending in the application and pass such claims to issue.

This amendment is intended to be a complete response to the Office Action dated June 15, 2005. In the event that any outstanding issues remain that would delay the allowance of this application, the examiner is urged to contact the undersigned to **telephonically** discuss such outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas J. Sorocco, Reg. No. 43,145
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.
P.O. Box 16370
Oklahoma City, OK 73113
Customer No. 30589
(405) 607-8600 Office
(405) 607-8686 Facsimile
Attorney for Applicant
doug_sorocco@okpatents.com